arXiv:1412.0392v1 [math.CO] 1 Dec 2014

ON THE EQUATION $\mathbf{m = xyzw}$ WITH $\mathbf{x \leqslant y \leqslant z \leqslant w}$ IN POSITIVE INTEGERS

MADJID MIRZAVAZIRI AND DANIEL YAQUBI

Abstract. As a well-known enumerative problem, the number of solutions of the equation $m = m_1 + \ldots + m_k$ with $m_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant m_k$ in positive integers is $\Pi(m,k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \Pi(m-k,i)$ and Π is called the additive partition function. In this paper, we give a recursive formula for the so-called multiplicative partition function $\mu_1(m,k) :=$ the number of solutions of the equation $m = m_1 \ldots m_k$ with $m_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant m_k$ in positive integers. In particular, using an elementary proof, we give an explicit formula for the cases $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

1. Introduction

Partitioning numbers and sets are two important enumerative problems. These problems can be stated in different ways. Given a positive integer m the total number of ways of writing m as a sum of positive integers is clearly 2^{m-1}. To see this we can put m balls in a row and we then realize that the ways of writing m as a sum of positive integers correspond to putting some walls between the balls. As we can put walls in $m-1$ places, we have the result. On the other hand, the number of solutions of the equation $m = m_1 \ldots + m_k$ in positive integers for a fixed k, is equal to the number of ways of putting $k-1$ walls into $m-1$ allowed places; i.e. $\binom{m-1}{k-1}$.

We can also consider the equation $m = m_1 + \ldots + m_k$ in non-negative integers. For a fixed k, the number of solutions is $\binom{m+k-1}{k-1}$. This equals to the number of ways of putting m balls and $k-1$ walls in a row. The total number of ways of writing m as a sum of non-negative integers cannot be a good question, since the answer is infinity.

Moreover, we can add some conditions to the problem. For instance, we can think about the number of solutions of the equation $m = m_1 + \ldots + m_k$ with the conditions $\ell_i \leqslant m_i \leqslant \ell_i'$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. A straightforward application of the Inclusion Exclusion Principle solves this problem.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11P81, 05A17.

Key words and phrases. Multiplicative partition function.

On the other hand, if we add the condition $m_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant m_k$ to our problem then we have a more difficult situation. The answer is denoted by $\Pi(m,k)$ and a recursive argument shows that $\Pi(m,k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \Pi(m-k,i)$ with the initial values $\Pi(m,m) = \Pi(m,1) = \Pi(0,0) = 1$. For a discussion about these problems see [1].

These considerations motivate us to think about a multiplicative version of the above problems. Various types of this problem can be found in sequences A001055, A034836, A122179, A122180 and A088432 of [7]. We deal with this problem in the present paper and we give a solution for the problem whenever $k = 1, 2, 3$ or 4. A survey on this problem can be found in [4]. The reader is also referred to [3] and [5].

There is a solution to the case $k = 4$ of multiplicative and additive forms of our problem using the *Polya Enumeration Theorem*. For a complete solutions of these problems see section 7.5 of [2] and for a background material on the Polya method and multiset cycle indices see [6].

2. A Recursive Formula

Definition 2.1. Let m, k and ℓ be positive integers. We denote the number of solutions of the equation

$m = m_1 \ldots m_k$	with $m_i \geqslant \ell$ by	$\nu_\ell(m,k)$;
$m = m_1 \ldots m_k$	with $\ell \leqslant m_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant m_k$ by	$\mu_\ell(m,k)$;
$m = m_1 \ldots m_k$	with $\ell \leqslant m_1, \ldots, m_k$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by	$\nu_\ell(m)$;
$m = m_1 \ldots m_k$	with $\ell \leqslant m_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant m_k$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by	$\mu_\ell(m)$;
$m = m_1 \ldots m_k$	with $m_i \geqslant \ell$ and $\{m_1, \ldots m_k\} = \{m'_1, \ldots, m'_r\}$ and $\beta_j = \lvert\{i : m_i = m'_j\}\rvert, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$ by	$\nu'_\ell(m; \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r)$;
$m = m_1^{\beta_1} \ldots m_r^{\beta_r}$	with $\beta_i \in \mathbb{N}, \beta_1 + \ldots + \beta_r = k$ and $\ell \leqslant m_1 < \ldots < m_r$ by	$\mu'_\ell(m; \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $m > 1, k$ be positive integers and $p_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ be the prime decomposition of m. Then*

$$\nu_1(m,k) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \binom{\alpha_j + k - 1}{k-1}$$

and

$$\nu_2(m,k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \binom{\alpha_j + k - i - 1}{k - i - 1}.$$

Proof. Suppose that for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ we have α_j balls labelled p_j and we want to put these balls into k different cells. There is a one to one correspondence between these situations

and multiplicative partitioning $m = m_1 \dots m_k$. In fact we can consider m_j as the product of the balls in cell j. There are $\binom{\alpha_j+k-1}{k-1}$ ways to put balls labelled p_j. Thus the first part is obvious.

For the second part, let E_r be the set of all situations in which the cell r is empty, where $1 \leqslant r \leqslant k$. Then we have

$$|E_{r_1} \cap \dots \cap E_{r_i}| = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \binom{\alpha_j + k - i - 1}{k - i - 1}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1.$$

Thus the Inclusion Exclusion Principle implies the result. □

Example 2.3. We evaluate $\nu_2(m)$ for $m = p^\alpha$. Clearly

$$\nu_1(m,k) = \binom{\alpha + k - 1}{k - 1}$$

and

$$\nu_2(m) = \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \binom{\alpha_j + k - i - 1}{k - i - 1}.$$

Suppose that there are k boys and $\alpha - 1$ girls and we want to choose a team consisting of $k-1$ girls. This is obviously equal to $\binom{\alpha-1}{k-1}$.

Let A_r be the set of all situations in which the boy r is belonged to the chosen team. Then

$$|A_{r_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{r_i}| = \binom{\alpha + k - i - 1}{k - i - 1}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1.$$

By the Inclusion Exclusion Principle we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2(m) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \binom{\alpha_j + k - i - 1}{k - i - 1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha-1} \binom{k-1}{\alpha-1} \\ &= 2^{\alpha-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.4. *Let $m > 1$ and k, ℓ be positive integers. Suppose that ℓ^s divides m but ℓ^{s+1} does not divide m. Then*

$$\mu_\ell(m,k) = \sum_{i=\max\{k-s,1\}}^{\min\{k,s\}} \mu_{\ell+1}(m,i).$$

Proof. Let

$$E = \{(m_1, \dots, m_k) : m = m_1 \dots m_k, \ell \leqslant m_1 \leqslant \dots \leqslant m_k\}$$

and

$$E_i = \{(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in E : m_1 = \dots = m_i = \ell, m_{i+1} \neq \ell\}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \min\{k-1, s\}.$$

Then $E = \cup_{i=0}^{\min\{k-1,s\}} E_i$ and the union is disjoint. Thus

$$\mu_\ell(m,k) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{k-1,s\}} |E_i| = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{k-1,s\}} \mu_{\ell+1}(m, k-i) = \sum_{i=\max\{k-s,1\}}^{\min\{k,s\}} \mu_{\ell+1}(m,i).$$

□

Corollary 2.5. *Let $m > 1$ and k, ℓ be positive integers. Then*

$$\mu_1(m,k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_2(m,i).$$

Proof. Using Proposition 2.4 we have the result, since $1^s \mid m$ for each positive integer s. □

The following result is straightforward.

Lemma 2.6. *Let m, k and ℓ be positive integers. Then*

i. $\nu_\ell(m,k) = \sum_{\ell \leqslant d \mid m} \nu_\ell(\frac{m}{d}, k-1)$;
ii. $\mu_\ell(m,k) = \sum_{\ell \leqslant d \mid m} \mu_d(\frac{m}{d}, k-1)$;
iii. $\nu_\ell(m,k) = \sum_{\beta_i \in \mathbb{N}, \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_r = k} \nu'_\ell(m; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$;
iv. $\mu_\ell(m,k) = \sum_{\beta_i \in \mathbb{N}, \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_r, \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_r = k} \mu'_\ell(m; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$;
v. $\mu'_\ell(m; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) = \frac{k!}{\beta_1! \dots \beta_r!} \nu'_\ell(m; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r), \quad \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}, \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_r = k.$

3. An Explicit Formula For the Cases $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $\ell = 1, 2$

We now aim to give an explicit formula for the cases $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $\ell = 1, 2$. In the following, we denote the number of natural divisors of m by $\tau(m)$. Moreover,

$$\varepsilon_i(m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sqrt[i]{m} \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $m > 1$ be a positive integer. Then*

$$\mu_1(m,1) = \mu_2(m,1) = 1,$$
$$\mu_1(m,2) = \lceil \frac{\tau(m)}{2} \rceil \text{ and } \mu_2(m,2) = \lceil \frac{\tau(m)}{2} \rceil - 1.$$

Proof. The first part is obvious. For the second part, we note that $\nu_1(m,2)$ is number of ways to write m as xy, where x is a natural divisor of m. Thus $\nu_1(m,2) = \tau(m)$. Now if m is not a perfect square then $\tau(m)$ is even and so $\mu_1(m,2) = \frac{\tau(m)}{2} = \lceil \frac{\tau(m)}{2} \rceil$ and if m is a perfect square then $\mu_1(m,2) = \frac{\tau(m)-1}{2} + 1 = \lceil \frac{\tau(m)}{2} \rceil$. Using Corollary 2.5, we now have $\mu_2(m,2) = \mu_1(m,2) - 1$. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $m > 1$ be a positive integer and $p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ be its prime decomposition. Then*

$$\mu_1(m,3) = \frac{1}{6}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\binom{\alpha_j+2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\lfloor\frac{\alpha_j+2}{2}\rfloor + \frac{\varepsilon_3(m)}{3},$$

$$\mu_2(m,3) = \mu_1(m,3) - \lceil\frac{\tau(m)}{2}\rceil.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_1(m,3) &= \nu_1'(m;1,1,1) + \nu_1'(m;1,2) + \nu_1'(m;3)\\ &= 6\mu_1'(m;1,1,1) + 3\mu_1'(m;1,2) + \mu_1'(m;3).\end{aligned}$$

We know that $\mu_1'(m;1,2)$ is the number of ways to write m as xy^2, where $x \neq y$. This is equal to the number of y's such that $y^2 \mid m$ minus the number of ways such that $\frac{m}{y^2} = y$, in which the latter is equal to $\varepsilon_3(m)$. The number of y's such that $y^2 \mid m$ is $\prod_{j=1}^{n}\lfloor\frac{\alpha_j+2}{2}\rfloor$. Moreover, $\mu_1'(m;3) = \varepsilon_3(m)$. Thus Lemma 2.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_1'(m;1,1,1) &= \frac{1}{6}\big(\nu_1(m,3) - 3\big(\prod_{j=1}^{n}\lfloor\frac{\alpha_j+2}{2}\rfloor - \varepsilon_3(m)\big) - \varepsilon_3(m)\big)\\ &= \frac{1}{6}\nu_1(m,3) - \frac{1}{2}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\lfloor\frac{\alpha_j+2}{2}\rfloor + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_3(m)\\ &= \frac{1}{6}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\binom{\alpha_j+2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\lfloor\frac{\alpha_j+2}{2}\rfloor + \frac{\varepsilon_3(m)}{3}.\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_1(m,3) &= \mu_1'(m;1,1,1) + \mu_1'(m;1,2) + \mu_1'(m;3)\\ &= \frac{1}{6}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\binom{\alpha_j+2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\lfloor\frac{\alpha_j+2}{2}\rfloor + \frac{\varepsilon_3(m)}{3} + \prod_{j=1}^{n}\lfloor\frac{\alpha_j+2}{2}\rfloor - \varepsilon_3(m) + \varepsilon_3(m).\end{aligned}$$

This proves the first part.

For the second part, using Corollary 2.5, we have

$$\mu_2(m,3) = \mu_1(m,3) - \mu_2(m,2) - 1 = \mu_1(m,3) - \lceil \frac{\tau(m)}{2} \rceil + 1 - 1.$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let $k, \ell > 1$ be a positive integer and $p_1^{\beta_1} \dots p_n^{\beta_n}$ be the prime decomposition of k. Then*

$$\sum_{d|k} \tau(d) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \binom{\beta_j + 2}{2}$$

and

$$\sum_{d|k} \varepsilon_\ell(d) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \lfloor \frac{\beta_j + \ell}{\ell} \rfloor.$$

Theorem 3.4. *Let $m > 1$ be a positive integer and $p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ be its prime decomposition. then*

$$\mu_1(m,4) = \frac{1}{24} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \binom{\alpha_i + 3}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lfloor \frac{\alpha_i + 3}{3} \rfloor + \frac{1}{4} (\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lfloor \frac{\alpha_i + 2}{2} \rfloor (\alpha_i - \lfloor \frac{\alpha_i - 2}{2} \rfloor))$$
$$+ \frac{\varepsilon_2(m)}{4} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lfloor \frac{\alpha_i + 2}{2} \rfloor - \frac{\varepsilon_2(m)}{4} \lceil \frac{\tau(\sqrt{m})}{2} \rceil + \frac{3\varepsilon_4(m)}{8}.$$

Moreover,

$$\mu_2(m,4) = \mu_1(m,4) - \mu_1(m,3).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1(m,4) &= \nu_1'(m;1,1,1,1) + \nu_1'(m;1,1,2) + \nu_1'(m;1,3) + \nu_1'(m;2,2) + \nu_1'(m;4) \\ &= 24\mu_1'(m;1,1,1,1) + 12\mu_1'(m;1,1,2) + 4\mu_1'(m;1,3) + 6\mu_1'(m;2,2) + \mu_1'(m;4). \end{aligned}$$

We know that $\mu_1'(m;1,1,2)$ is the number of ways to write m as xyz^2, where x, y and z are different positive integers. This is equal to the number of z's such that $z^2 \mid m$ minus the number of ways to write m as xz^3, x^2z^2 or z^4, where $x \neq z$. The number of z's such that $z^2 \mid m$ is $\sum_{z^2|m} \lceil \frac{\tau(\frac{m}{z^2})}{2} \rceil$. Thus

$$\mu_1'(m;1,1,2) = \sum_{z^2|m} \lceil \frac{\tau(\frac{m}{z^2})}{2} \rceil - \mu_1'(m;1,3) - \mu_1'(m;2,2) - \varepsilon_4(m).$$

If m is not a perfect square then $\tau(m)$ is even. Let $z = p_1^{\beta_1} \dots p_n^{\beta_n}$. There exists an index i such that α_i is odd and then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{z^2|m} \lceil \frac{\tau(\frac{m}{z^2})}{2} \rceil &= \sum_{z^2|m} \frac{1}{2}\tau(p_1^{\alpha_1-2\beta_1} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n-2\beta_n}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\prod_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{0\leq\beta_i\leq\frac{\alpha_i}{2}} (\alpha_i - 2\beta_i + 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lfloor \frac{\alpha_i+2}{2} \rfloor (\alpha_i - \lfloor \frac{\alpha_i-2}{2} \rfloor)).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\mu_1'(m;1,3)$ is the number of ways to write m as xz^3, where $x \neq z$. This is equal to the number of z's such that $z^3 \mid m$ minus the number of ways to write m as z^4. The number of z's such that $z^3 \mid m$ is $\prod_{j=1}^{n} \lfloor \frac{\alpha_j+3}{3} \rfloor$. Whence

$$\mu_1'(m;1,3) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \lfloor \frac{\alpha_j+3}{3} \rfloor - \varepsilon_4(m).$$

Since m is not a perfect square, $\mu_1'(m;2,2) = 0$. Thus Lemma 2.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_1'(m;1,1,1,1) &= \frac{1}{24}\big(\nu_1(m,4) - 12\mu_1'(m;1,1,2) - 4\mu_1'(m;1,3) - 6\mu_1'(m;2,2) - \varepsilon_4(m)\big) \\ &= \frac{1}{24}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\binom{\alpha_i+3}{3} - \frac{1}{4}(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lfloor \frac{\alpha_i+2}{2} \rfloor (\alpha_i - \lfloor \frac{\alpha_i-2}{2} \rfloor)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3}\mu_1'(m;1,3) + \frac{1}{4}\mu_1'(m;2,2) + \frac{11}{24}\varepsilon_4(m)\big) \\ &= \frac{1}{24}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\binom{\alpha_i+3}{3} - \frac{1}{4}(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lfloor \frac{\alpha_i+2}{2} \rfloor (\alpha_i - \lfloor \frac{\alpha_i-2}{2} \rfloor)) + \frac{1}{3}\mu_1'(m;1,3).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_1(m,4) &= \mu_1'(m;1,1,1,1) + \mu_1'(m;1,1,2) + \mu_1'(m;1,3) + \mu_1'(m;2,2) + \mu_1'(m;4) \\ &= \frac{1}{24}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\binom{\alpha_i+3}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lfloor \frac{\alpha_i+3}{3} \rfloor + \frac{1}{4}(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lfloor \frac{\alpha_i+2}{2} \rfloor (\alpha_i - \lfloor \frac{\alpha_i-2}{2} \rfloor)).\end{aligned}$$

Now let m be a perfect square. Then $\tau(m)$ is odd and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{z^2|m}\lceil\frac{\tau(\frac{m}{d^2})}{2}\rceil &= \sum_{z^2|m}(\frac{\tau(\frac{m}{d^2})+1}{2}) \\
&= \sum_{z^2|m}\frac{1}{2}\tau(p_1^{\alpha_1-2\beta_1}\dots p_n^{\alpha_n-2\beta_n})+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{z^2|m}1 \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{0\le\beta_i\le\frac{\alpha_i}{2}}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(\alpha_i-2\beta_i+1)+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{0\le\beta_i\le\frac{\alpha_i}{2}}1 \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{0\le\beta_i\le\frac{\alpha_i}{2}}(\alpha_i-2\beta_i+1)+\frac{1}{2}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(\lfloor\frac{\alpha_i+2}{2}\rfloor) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\lfloor\frac{\alpha_i+2}{2}\rfloor(\alpha_i-\lfloor\frac{\alpha_i-2}{2}\rfloor))+\frac{1}{2}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(\lfloor\frac{\alpha_i+2}{2}\rfloor)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_1'(m;1,1,1,1) = \frac{1}{24}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\binom{\alpha_i+3}{3}-\frac{1}{4}(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\lfloor\frac{\alpha_i+2}{2}\rfloor(\alpha_i-\lfloor\frac{\alpha_i-2}{2}\rfloor)) \\
+\frac{1}{2}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(\lfloor\frac{\alpha_i+2}{2}\rfloor)+\frac{1}{3}\mu_1'(m;1,3)-\frac{1}{4}\mu_1'(m;2,2)-\frac{1}{24}\varepsilon_4(m).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $\mu_1'(m;2,2)$ is the number of ways to write m as $x^2y^2=(xy)^2$, where $x\neq y$. If m is not a perfect square then this number is 0 and if m is a perfect square then $\sqrt{m}\in\mathbb{N}$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_1'(m;2,2) &= \varepsilon_2(m)\mu_2'(\sqrt{m};1,1)=\varepsilon_2(m)\big(\mu_2(\sqrt{m},2)-\varepsilon_2(\sqrt{m})\big) \\
&= \varepsilon_2(m)\big(\lceil\frac{\tau(\sqrt{m})}{2}\rceil-\varepsilon_4(m)\big) \\
&= \varepsilon_2(m)\lceil\frac{\tau(\sqrt{m})}{2}\rceil-\varepsilon_4(m).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_1(m,4) = \frac{1}{24}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\binom{\alpha_i+3}{3}+\frac{1}{3}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\lfloor\frac{\alpha_i+3}{3}\rfloor+\frac{1}{4}(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\lfloor\frac{\alpha_i+2}{2}\rfloor(\alpha_i-\lfloor\frac{\alpha_i-2}{2}\rfloor)) \\
+\frac{\varepsilon_2(m)}{4}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\lfloor\frac{\alpha_i+2}{2}\rfloor-\frac{\varepsilon_2(m)}{4}\lceil\frac{\tau(\sqrt{m})}{2}\rceil+\frac{3\varepsilon_4(m)}{8}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the first part. The second part is obvious.

□

Note that if a positive integer m is a prime power, say $m = p^n$, then $\mu_2(m,k) = \Pi(n,k)$, where Π is the additive partition function.

Corollary 3.5. *Let n be a positive integer. Then the number of all solutions of the equation*

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = n$$

in $\mathbb{N}$, under the condition $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_3$, is

$$\frac{1}{6}\binom{n+2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\lfloor\frac{n+2}{2}\rfloor + \frac{\varepsilon_3(p^n)}{3}.$$

Corollary 3.6. *Let n be positive a integer. Then the number of all solutions of the equation*

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = n$$

in $\mathbb{N}$, under the condition $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_3 \leq x_4$, is

$$\frac{1}{24}\binom{n+3}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\lfloor\frac{n+3}{3}\rfloor + \frac{1}{4}(\lfloor\frac{n+2}{2}\rfloor(n - \lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor))$$
$$+ \quad \frac{\varepsilon_2(p^n)}{4}\lfloor\frac{n+2}{2}\rfloor - \frac{\varepsilon_2(p^n)}{4}\lceil\frac{\tau(\sqrt{p^n})}{2}\rceil + \frac{3\varepsilon_4(p^n)}{8}.$$

Remark 3.7. We can compute $\mu_1(m,4)$ using the following Mathlab code.

```
clear all
n=input('enter your number')
for m=1:n
a=Power(m);
b=xyzw(m,a);
c(m,1)=b;
end

function a=Power(m1)
B=factor(m1);[n2 n1]=size(B);i=1;j=1;a(j)=1;
  while i<n1
    if B(i)==B(i+1)
     a(j)=a(j)+1;
    else j=j+1;a(j)=1;
    end
    i=i+1;
```

```
  end
end

function b=xyzw(m,a)
[n2 n1]=size(a);
b1=1;b2=1;b3=1;
e2=0;e4=0;
m1=floor(m^(1/2));
  if m1==(m^(1/2))
    e2=1;
  end
m1=floor(m^(1/4));
  if m1==m^(1/4)
    e4=1;
  end
  for i=1:n1
    b1=(1/6)*(a(i)+3)*(a(i)+2)*(a(i)+1)*b1;
  end
  for i=1:n1
    b2=floor((a(i)+3)/3)*b2;
  end
  for i=1:n1
    b3=floor((a(i)+2)/2)*(a(i)-floor((a(i)-2)/2))*b3;
  end
b4=1;
  for i=1:n1
    b4=floor((a(i)+2)/2)*b4;
  end
b5=1;
  for i=1:n1
    b5=b5*((a(i)/2)+1);
  end
b=(1/24)*b1+(1/3)*b2+(1/4)*b3+(1/4)*e2*b4-(1/4)*e2*ceil(b5)+(3/8)*e4;
end
```

References

[1] G. E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[2] J. Herman, R. Kucera and J. Simsa, *Counting and Configurations, Problems in Combinatorics, Arithmetic, and Geometry*, Original Czech edition published by Masaryk University, Brno, 1997, Translated by K. Dilcher, Springer, 2003.

[3] J. F. Hughes and J. Shallit, *On the number of multiplicative partitions*, American Mathematical Monthly **90 (7)** (1983), 468-471.

[4] A. Knopfmacher, M. E. Mays, *A survey of factorization counting functions*, International Journal of Number Theory **1 (4)** (2005), 563-581.

[5] A. Knopfmacher and M. E. Mays, *Ordered and Unordered Factorizations of Integers*, Mathematica Journal **10** (2006), 72-89.

[6] M. Riedel, *http://math.stackexchange.com/users/44883/marko-riedel*.

[7] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopaedia of Integer Sequences*.

Department of Pure Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P. O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran.

E-mail address: mirzavaziri@gmail.com, danyal_352@yahoo.es